The Effect of the Number of RV Observations on Planet Detectability Carl Sagan Summer School 2016

Keri Hoadley John Livingston Adam Rains David Sliski











What happens to the *bayesian evidence* for detecting a planet as you **vary** the number of observations of a given target?

M-Dwarf

Star T start = 3000K Logg = 4.5Rotation Period = 33 days R star = 0.3 M sunLimb 1 = 0.51 Limb 2 = 0.39Inclination = 90 degrees (Claret 2011)



M-Dwarf with Simulated Spots

Spots from SOAP 2.0 T_star = 3000K T_spot = 2800K Initial spot # = 200 Spot Size = 0.19 R_star 50% of spot groups have lifetimes between 1.5h & 2.6d 40% of spot groups have lifetimes between 2.6d & 14.5d 10% of spot groups have lifetimes between 14.5d & 80d



Data Set and Planet Parameters

Initial data set 4 years of data Observations every 6 hours 5840 observations Planet K = 3 m/sPeriod = 13 days*



*Similar to Gliese 581b

Optimistic observing from the ground

Initial data set 4 years of data every 6 hours



Seasons 8 months of observing 4 months off Instrumentation Issues N~(15,7)d One per season

ar

Optimistic observing from the ground



Hypothesis and Methodology

Hypothesis: More data will yield better detection of planets.

- 1. Inject planet signal
- 2. Split into training/validation set
- 3. Fit RV curve only using a Gaussian Process (GP)
 - a. Compute initial guess
 - b. Explore parameter space using MCMC (Stellar variability amplitude, oscillation correlation length, amplitude of harmonics, period, RV, errors Initialise walkers in region about initial guess, Burn-In #1
 - i. Initialise walkers in new optimal guess, Burn-In #2
 - ii. Run production chain

For Different Observing Lengths can We Recover the Planet?

Yellow = Gaussian Process to fit our data without a planet



One Month of Data Bayesian Evidence = 1.42 ; no planet

Yellow = Gaussian Process to fit our data without a planet



Two Months of Data Bayesian Evidence = 3.66 ; no planet

Yellow = Gaussian Process to fit our data without a planet



Three Months of Data Bayesian Evidence = 95.6 ; no planet

Yellow = Gaussian Process to fit our data without a planet



Four Months of Data Bayesian Evidence = 284; Possible Planet

Yellow = Gaussian Process to fit our data without a planet



Five Months of Data Bayesian Evidence = 5884 ; PLANET :-)

Yellow = Gaussian Process to fit our data without a planet



Six Months of Data Bayesian Evidence = 112420; PLANET

Yellow = Gaussian Process to fit our data without a planet



Seven Months of Data Bayesian Evidence = 707858; wasted Tele Time

Yellow = Gaussian Process to fit our data without a planet



Eight Months of Data Bayesian Evidence = 235625; wasted Tele Time

Yellow = Gaussian Process to fit our data without a planet



1-8 months of data with different periods



white

Planet not found in black

Conclusions: More data = better results GP+Planet Bayesian Evidence



Conclusions: extra

K value heat map K_{measured} vs. K_{true} [sigma]



