## Transits: Group 1 Effect of Stellar SNR and Spectral Resolution

Jayesh Goyal (Univ. of Exeter), Brian Kilpatrick (Brown), Akshata Krishnamurthy (MIT), Bo Ma (UF), Joel Villasenor (MIT)

Image Credit: CHRISTINE DANILOFF/MIT, JULIEN DE WIT

## Motivation

- Given the information in the FITS headers, what are the SNR and achievable precision as a function of wavelength?
- How does binning the data change these numbers?
- Trades between spectral resolution and SNR/ achievable precision
- Effect of scale height and planet radius in the context of detection of spectral features

#### **Input Data**



- JWST level 3 time-series data delivered as 2048 x 2 spectra tables
- Number of integrations = 144
- Effective integration time = 33 s
- NIRISS detector gain = 1.5 e<sup>-</sup>/DN

# SNR as a function of wavelength (at different spectral resolutions)



## Achievable precision as a function of wavelength (at different spectral resolutions)



## **Detection of Spectral Features**

Optical Depth along tangent ray at some Z  $\tau_\lambda(z)=\sigma_\lambda(T,P)\frac{P(z)}{k_BT}\sqrt{2\pi R_p H}$ 

Larger the planet radius, easier to detect.

Variation of scale height with temperature

$$H = \frac{k_b T}{\mu g}$$

Larger the scale height, easier to detect.

#### **Transmission Spectrum for a typical hot Jupiter**



Need 100 ppm for 3-sigma detection.



#### **Transmission Spectrum for a typical hot Jupiter**



#### **Transmission Spectrum for a typical cool Earth-**



Need 20 ppm for 3-sigma detection.



#### Transmission Spectrum for a typical cool Earthsized planets



## Conclusion

- Lower spectral resolution results in a higher signalto-noise ratio of each resolution element.
- Spectral features on planets with higher temperature and larger radius are easier to detect.