Free-floating planets from Microlensing

Takahiro Sumi (Osaka University) MOA collaboration

NASA/JPL-Caltech/R. Hurt.

2017/8 /8 Sagan Exoplanet Summer Workshop, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA

Free-floating plane

Planetary-mass objects that is not orbiting about any host star called:

- Free-floating planet
- Rogue planet
- Orphan planet
- Interstellar planet

Can we call them "Planet"? --- still in debate

- If they formed around a host star, and scattered out from orbit, then we may call them a planet.
- However, others believe that the definition of 'planet' should depend on current observable state, and not origin
- They may form on their own through gas cloud collapse similar to star formation; in which case they would never have been planets.
 → "planetary-mass object" or " sub brown dwarf"

Free-floating planetary-mass objects in young star forming region







However, Large uncertainty in photometric mass measurement

• their abundance



S106

Size comparison



Gravitational Microlensing



◇ If a lens is a star, Science, 1936
 elongation of images is an order of 100µarcsec.
 ◇ Just see a star magnified
 ◇ Einstein predicted 1936, but concluded impossible to observe. Event rate is 1/1M

1986
 Watch Millions stars
 Paczynski





Sensitivity of various methods



RV
transit
Direct image
Microlensing: not rely on flux from host

1-6 AU : beyond snow line
small planet: down to Earth
Faint star :M-dwarf, brown dwarf
No host : free floating planet
Far system: galactic distribution

MOA (since 1995) **R** (Microlensing Observation in Astrophysics)

(New Zealand/Mt. John Observatory, Latitude: 44°S, Alt: 1029m)





MOA (until ~1500) (the world largest bird in NZ)



height:3.5 m
weight:250kg
can not fly
Extinct 500 years ago (Maori ate them)

MOA-II 1.8m





Survey towards the Galactic Bulge

Probability:

→ need Wide Field for Many stars



Time scale ~ 30days (M_{\odot}) ~ a few days (M_{Jup}) ~ hours (M_{\oplus}) \rightarrow need high cadence



Difference Image Analysis (DIA)

Observed

subtracted



Binary Lens Background Rejection

So Both close ($d < R_E$) and wide ($d > R_E$) binary lens events can give rise to brief microlensing magnifications

& All short events can be fit by a wide binary model, because a wide binary approaches a single lens as d $-> \infty$

- host stars must be at a distance > 3-15 R_E , depending on the event
- high magnification events have the tightest limits
- 2 wide binaries fail light curve shape cuts

Close binaries have small external caustics that can also give short events

- I such event passed all cuts but the light curve fit.
- Close binary models have different, usually asymmetric, light curves
- Solution Close binary models can be rejected for all $t_E < 2$ day events, except for event 5
- Since only 1 of 13 short events is a close binary, event 5 is probably a single lens event

Background: Short Binary Events



Background: Short Binary



Background: CV or moving objects



a CV gives a poor microlensing fit, often with low magnification and an unphysically bright source Moving object gives symmetric but unphysical microlensing fit, often with low magnification and an unphysically bright source

10 events with timescale $t_E < 2days$ 474events in 2 years

Einstein timescale:



M: lens mass M_J: Jupiter mass D_I: lens distance D_s: source distance v_t : transverse velocity

10 events with $t_E < 2$ days from 2006-2007 (events 3, 4)



MOA data in black, confirmed by OGLE data in red

Einstein timescale

Table 4. Timescale $t_{\rm E}$ [d] for a grid of (D_{ℓ}, M_{ℓ}) , assuming $\mu_{\rm rel} = 4 \text{ mas/yr}$ (bulge lens)^{*a*}

	Lens Type	$M_\ell \; [M_\odot]$		$D_\ell \; [{ m kpc}]$					
Bulge lens			1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
	Black hole	10					225.5	168.1	110.1
	G Dwarf	1					71.3	53.2	34.8
	M Dwarf	0.3					39.1	29.1	19.1
	M Dwarf	0.1					22.6	16.8	11.0
	Brown Dwarf	0.01					7.1	5.3	3.5
	Jupiter	0.001					2.3	1.7	1.1

^aAssuming a source star distance of $D_s = 8$ kpc. Conceptual Themes for the 2017 Sagan Summer Workshop

Table 5. Timescale $t_{\rm E}$ [d] for a grid of (D_{ℓ}, M_{ℓ}) , assuming $\mu_{\rm rel} = 10 \text{ mas/yr} (\text{disk lens})^a$

	Lens Type	$M_\ell \; [M_\odot]$	$oldsymbol{D}_\ell \; [ext{kpc}]$						
			1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
Disk lens	Black hole	10	308.1	201.7	150.4	116.5	90.2		
	G Dwarf	1	97.4	63.8	47.5	36.8	28.5		
	M Dwarf	0.3	53.4	34.9	26.0	20.2	15.6		
	M Dwarf	0.1	30.8	20.2	15.0	11.6	9.0		
	Brown Dwarf	0.01	9.7	6.4	4.8	3.7	2.9		
	Jupiter	0.001	3.1	2.0	1.5	1.2	0.9		

^aAssuming a source star distance of $D_s = 8$ kpc.

Timescale t_E distribution 474 events In 2006-2007



Modeling t_E distribution The Einstein timescale t_E is the only observable in the regular single lens microlensing event and is given by $t_E = \frac{R_E(M, D)}{Distance}$

 \mathcal{V}_t Transverse velocity

Although the physical parameters of the lens and source are degenerate, a model t_E distribution, $\Phi(tE)$, can be calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation for an assumed mass function with a standard galactic mass density and velocity model (Han,C.& Gould, 2003)

Mass Function Models

- Assume Salpeter-like slope ($\alpha = -2$) for initial >1 M_{\odot} stars \rightarrow stellar remnants
- Two choices at < 1 M_{\odot}
 - Broken power law
 - $\alpha = -2$ for M > 0.7 M_{\odot}
 - $\alpha = -1.3 \text{ for } 0.7 M_{\odot} > M > 0.08 M_{\odot}$
 - $\alpha = -0.52$ for $0.08 M_{\odot} > M > 0.01 M_{\odot}$
 - Chabrier log-normal
 - $M_{\rm c} = 0.12 \ M_{\odot}, \ \sigma_{\rm c} = 0.76$

 $dN/d\log M = \exp[(\log M - \log M_c)^2/(2\sigma_c^2)]$

- Planetary δ -function in mass
 - mass resolution limited by
 - factor of 2-3 precision in
 - t_E mass relation



Simulation

Put artificial events on real images

Subtracted image



Art image

- Sampling
- noise
- Artifacts
- Nearby bright star,
- Nearby variable star
- Nearby high proper motion star
- Differential refraction



Detection Efficiency



Timescale t_E distribution 474 events In 2006-2007



Likelihood of Planetary Mass Function Parameters

 $M_{\rm PL}~(M_{\rm J})$ 0.1 10 1 Power-law #Fraction in all population _oq-normal 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 10⁻⁵ 10^{-3} 10^{-4} 0.01 $\text{Mass}_{\,M_{\rm PL}}$ $({\rm M}_{\odot})$

68%, 90% contour

$$N_{planet} = 1.8^{+1.7}_{-0.8} N_{star}$$

 $M_{planet} = 1.1^{+1.2}_{-0.6} M_J$

0.2-3.5 isolated planets per star

Power law PL MF



Predict Many super Earth.

But still consistent with delta function

Recent OGLE-IV results



Mróz+2017

Jupiter mass lenses : ~0.05 x Main sequence <1/4 of Main sequence

Six ultra short events With $t_E \sim 0.2$ days Super Earth FFP?

Reasons of difference:

- 1. Statistic (2.5-3σ)
- 2. Uncertainty in t_E
- 3. Contamination of short binary
- 4. OGLE found more BD.
 0.9 brown dwarf per MS star compared to 0.7 in MOA

Where are they?



Unbound or distant planets?

• Microlensing data only sets a lower limit on the separation: no host stars within 10AU

• HST follow-up can set tighter limits or detect host

• 8m telescope, Direct imaging limits (Lafreniere et al. 2007)

• < 40% of stars have 1 Jupiter-mass planet at 10 AU < a < 500 AU



< 10-16% for 1-13M_Jat 10-100AU (Bowler, B.P.2015)
They can be bound. But not nessesaly.

Formation Scenarios:

- formed on their own through gas cloud collapse similar to star formation (sub brown dwarf) →
 - Hard to form Jupiter-mass objects
 - Planetary-mass sub brown dwarf can explain only 1 or 2 short events.
 - Abrupt change in mass function at Jupiter-mass do not support this scenario.



2. formed around a host star, and scattered out from orbit

Hot Jupiters orbiting hot stars have high obliquities (Winn et al. 2010, Triaud et al. 2010)

→ evidence of gravitational interaction

Hot Jupiters are alone (Latham et al. 2011)

→ evidence of gravitational interaction

No desert for short-period super-earths (Howard et al. 2010)

planet-disk interactions are of secondary importance to planet-planet scattering







Simulation of the dynamical effect of planetary system



half planets ejected after 10⁷y
Free floating
Microlensing can find

The event rate as a function t $_{\rm E}$ of scattered planets



Use Population synsethis by Ida & Lin.

Simulated how many planets are scatted out.

The three solid curves are for the events produced by three stellar masses, 1, 0.3 and $0.1 M^{\odot}$, respec- tively. The three corresponding planetary curves (dotted lines) are shown on the left.

WFIRST



(Wide Field Infra Red Survey Telescope) Recommended by Decadal survey astro2010 NASA's flagship mission following HST, JWST



Launch in 2025

Dark Energy
Exoplanet Microlensing
Exoplanet Coronagraph
Near Infrared Sky Survey
Guest Observing Prog.

Diameter: 2.4m given from NRO (National Reconnaissance Office) $\lambda < 2 \mu$ m FOV: 0.281 deg.² Complete the census of planetary systems
 WFIRST can detect all solar system planet analog except Mercury & FFP



•3000 bound planet, 200 (< 1 M_{\oplus}) •2000 free-floating planet,100 (< 1 M_{\oplus})

PRIME (PRime-focus Infrared Microlensing Experiment) 1.8m Telescope at SAAO



H-band

Alt. 1761m

Expected picture

 $FOV:1.25^{\circ} \times 1.25^{\circ} = 1.56 deg^2(0.5''/pix)$

(6xfull moon) World Largest FOV

Nishimur

More events & planets in NIR at G.C.

G.C.

Optical

NR

Galactic bulge is highly obscured.

disk

Microlensing Exoplanets (~50%)

More stars & more events at GC.
 (~2400events/yr, ~12planets/yr)

Planet frequency at GC.

Select WFIRST fields.

Concurrent observation with WFIRST to measure lens mass.

Mass Function at GC (planet-Black Hole)

• Other sciences (\sim 50%)

Study the galactic structure & Optimize WFIRST microlensing survey fields by mapping the event rate in NIR



Event rate vary by a factor of 2 (peak is at $I=1^{\circ}$)



Simultaneous Ground-Space monitoring with Spitzer

We can do same observations with WFIRST

Earth

Spitzer



 $M = 0.23 \pm 0.07 Msun$

 $D_{\rm L} = 3.1 \pm 0.4$ kpc.

Yee et al.2005

Projected Einstein Radius

Earth-L2 separation.~0.01AU

Table 3. Projected physical Einstein radius $\tilde{r}_{\rm E}$ [AU] for a grid of $(D_{\ell}, M_{\ell})^a$

Lens Type	$M_\ell \; [M_\odot]$	$oldsymbol{D}_\ell \; [ext{kpc}]$							
		1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	
Black hole	10	9.64	14.73	19.76	25.51	32.93	44.18	67.49	
G Dwarf	1	3.05	4.66	6.25	8.07	10.41	13.97	21.34	
M Dwarf	0.3	1.67	2.55	3.42	4.42	5.70	7.65	11.69	
M Dwarf	0.1	0.96	1.47	1.98	2.55	3.29	4.42	6.75	
Brown Dwarf	0.01	0.30	0.47	0.62	0.81	1.04	1.40	2.13	
Jupiter	0.001	0.10	0.15	0.20	0.26	0.33	0.44	0.67	

Conceptual Themes for the 2017 Sagan Summer Workshop

^aAssuming a source star distance of $D_s = 8$ kpc.

WFIRST+PRIME can constrain the mass of FFP by space-base parallax



- Mricrolensing can detect Free-Floating planets
- Giant Free-floating planets are less than 1/4 of main sequence stars. (OGLE)
- Earth/Super Earth mass FFP may be common (OGLE)
- They inform us not only the number of planets that survived in orbit, but also planets that formed earlier and scattered.

\rightarrow important for planetary formation theory

WFIRST will detect

- ~3000 bound exoplanets with ~200 w/ $M < 1 M_{\oplus}$,
- ~2000 Free-floating planets with ~100 w/ $M < 1~M_{\oplus}$
- Constrain Mass of FFP by space-base parallax with PRIME